

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/607,004	HONDA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Craig A. Renner	2652

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) W. Todd Baker, Attorney For Applicants.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4 January 2006

**Time:** N/A

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*Includes 8, 13-17 and 20-21.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*By examiner's amendment, clarifying language was incorporated into the title and claim 8 and non-elected claims 13-17 and 20-21 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)